

**ORDER SHEET****WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL****Present-**

Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal,                      Hon'ble Chairman &  
 Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar,                      Administrative Member.

**Case No. OA 713 of 2014.**

**RINKU KUMAR SHAW - VERSUS- THE STATE OF W.B. & OTHERS.**

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<p>22 11.12.2019.</p>	<p>For the Applicant : Mr. S. K. Nandi, Advocate. For the State Respondent : Mr. S.N. Ray, Advocate.</p> <p>In this application the applicant, who had participated in the process for recruitment of Excise Constables/Lady Excise Constables in the selection process for the year 2013, has prayed for certain reliefs, the relevant portion of which is as under :-</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>"...a) Order/orders be passed directing the respondent authority to publish the complete list of selected candidates in the proper way what is usually done depicting all the information for avoiding any bias.</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>b) Order/Orders be passed directing the authority to publish the complete list in widely circulated print media with respective number scored by the selected candidates in the provisional list of candidates for recruitments to the posts of excise constable/lady excise constable, 2013..."</i></p> <p>After the matter was admitted, direction was issued to file reply and rejoinder. Reply and rejoinder have been filed and are on record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Mr. S.K. Nandi, learned advocate for</p>	

**ORDER SHEET**

Form No.

RINKU KUMAR SHAW.

**Vs.**

THE STATE OF W.B. &amp; ORS.

Case No. **OA 713 of 2014.**

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
Skg.	<p>the applicant and Mr. S.N. Ray, learned advocate for the State respondent.</p> <p>The main grievance of the applicant is that the result of the selection process was not displayed properly and system of awarding marks was not in order. Perusing paragraph 10 of the reply, we find that the results were published in the website of the Police as well as of the Excise Department. From paragraph 12 of the said reply we find that the applicant Rinku Kumar Shaw under General (Unreserved Male) category, had obtained 69.5 marks, whereas the last selected candidate in the General (Unreserved Male) category had obtained 69.75 marks. Therefore, it appears that the applicant had secured lesser marks in his category compared to the last selected candidate and thus he was not selected, which is not disputed in the rejoinder. Hence, no order is passed on the application. The application is disposed of.</p> <p>(P. Ramesh Kumar) Member (A)</p> <p>( Soumitra Pal) Chairman.</p>	

**ORDER SHEET**

Form No.

RINKU KUMAR SHAW.

**Vs.**

THE STATE OF W.B. &amp; ORS.

Case No. **OA 713 of 2014.**

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
---------------------------------------	---	--